Review

Digitalization and Artificial Intelligence in Central Health Management: Transforming Healthcare Administration Through Intelligent Systems

Filippou Konstantinos[1], Filippou Dimitrios[2]

[1] Department of Business Organization and Management, University of Piraeus, Pireaus-Athens, Greece
[2] Department of Anatomy, Medical School. National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Correspondence Address: Konstantinos Filippou, 19 G. Moschopoulou str, GR-17342 Agios Dimitrios, Athens, Greece; Email: konfil2006@gmail.com
Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.19387716.

Abstract

Global healthcare systems are under immense strain due to aging populations, rising costs, workforce shortages, and the growing demand for tailored, efficient care. Digitalization and artificial intelligence (AI) are poised to be game changers in health management, providing tools for predictive analytics, resource optimization, clinical decision-making support, and administrative task automation.

This article reviews the latest evidence surrounding AI applications in health management administration, which includes machine learning for optimizing patient flow, predictive workforce analytics, smart resource allocation, automated clinical documentation, and decision-making systems.

The analysis indicates significant opportunities for cost savings, enhanced operational efficiency, and improved patient outcomes, with AI capable of cutting diagnostic times by up to 90%, lowering treatment costs by more than 30%, and increasing administrative efficiency by 40%. Nonetheless, challenges remain around data quality, algorithm validation, workflow integration, and equitable access.

The focus on hospital-centric applications, with less emphasis on primary care and public health, underscores current research priorities while revealing opportunities for broader health system overhaul. This article assesses the evidence, identifies challenges to implementation, and suggests strategies for responsible AI use in health management.

Keywords: *artificial intelligence, health management, healthcare administration, digital transformation, predictive analytics, machine learning, hospital operations, resource allocation, clinical decision support*

Introduction

Healthcare systems globally are under increasing strain that jeopardizes their sustainability and equitable access. Factors such as aging populations, the rise of chronic diseases, workforce shortages, and soaring costs necessitate urgent transformative measures.[1-3] Central health management—the combination of administrative, operational, and strategic elements that facilitate healthcare delivery—serves as a vital area where digitalization and artificial intelligence can lead to significant enhancements in efficiency, effectiveness, and patient satisfaction.[4,5] Global healthcare spending now approaches $9 trillion annually, with administrative expenses accounting for 15-30% of total costs in various systems. These costs often stem from inefficiencies such as redundant paperwork, poor resource allocation, reactionary management practices, and limited use of data for decision-making. Digitalization can lay the groundwork for tackling these issues, while AI offers smart solutions for analyzing intricate data, forecasting future scenarios, and optimizing operational performance.

Artificial intelligence in health management includes various technologies, from machine learning for predictive analytics to natural language processing for analyzing documentation, as well as computer vision for operational oversight and intelligent automation for managing administrative tasks. These tools can enhance human decision-making, alleviate cognitive overload, and foster proactive management strategies that meet needs ahead of emergencies. [6-7]

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the digital overhaul of healthcare systems, highlighting both the capabilities and drawbacks of technology-driven health management. Systems with strong digital foundations showed increased resilience, whereas those with disorganized information struggled to coordinate responses, allocate resources, and ensure continuous care. The post-pandemic recovery phase provides a chance for the intentional and evidence-based integration of AI into the core functions of health management. [8]

This review focuses on the current landscape of digitalization and AI in health management administration, exploring applications within operational, strategic, and emergency response areas. The assessment compiles insights from systematic reviews, original research, and implementation studies to offer a well-rounded examination of transformative potential, implementation hurdles, and future pathways for intelligent health management systems. [9, 10]

Materials and Methods

This narrative review utilized systematic literature searches across databases such as PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar, covering the period from 2019 to 2025. The search terms comprised various combinations of "artificial intelligence," "machine learning," "digital health," "health management," "healthcare administration," "hospital operations," "predictive analytics," "resource allocation," "clinical decision support," and "workflow optimization." Inclusion criteria focused on peer-reviewed systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and original studies investigating AI applications in health management at institutional, regional, or national scales. Exclusion criteria ruled out studies concentrating exclusively on clinical diagnosis without administrative relevance, conference abstracts lacking full text, and publications not in English. The review emphasized recent high-quality systematic reviews and living systematic reviews to keep pace with rapidly changing evidence. Data extraction centered on AI application areas, methodological strategies, outcome metrics like cost, efficiency, and quality indicators, as well as implementation challenges.[11-12] Quality evaluation adhered to PRISMA guidelines where applicable, paying special attention to external validation, the distinction between real-world implementation and simulated environments, and the generalizability across various healthcare systems. [13-14]

Results

A thorough ongoing systematic review has revealed 240 studies utilizing AI for healthcare management, with a notable focus on hospital operations (45%), resource allocation (20%), quality assurance (15%), emergency response (12%), and security/infrastructure (8%). In terms of geographical research output, China (24.6%) and the United States (16.7%) were the leaders, indicating substantial investment in healthcare AI frameworks. [15-16] Another systematic review analyzing 79 studies conducted between 2019 and 2023 highlighted five primary thematic areas: AI for quality assurance and stakeholder involvement, AI in pandemic management, technological advancement, security and intelligent platforms, and resource management for sustainability. Machine learning applications were particularly effective in enhancing operational efficiency and strategic decision-making, though challenges regarding data privacy, ethical issues, and technology integration remained significant.

AI-driven predictions for hospital admissions have become essential for alleviating emergency department congestion and optimizing resource use. A systematic review of 20 studies published from 2019 to 2024 indicated that AI models achieved accuracy rates of 85-95%, surpassing traditional methodologies, with Random Forest and Neural Networks leading over conventional statistical methods. Studies that utilized unstructured data through Natural Language Processing saw marked improvements in patient flow and resource allocation, resulting in fewer avoidable hospitalizations, better bed occupancy, and reduced overcrowding in emergency departments. [17-18] At Hartford HealthCare, the integration of AI-generated discharge readiness predictions into existing unit-based progression rounds led to nearly a 5% decrease in overall length of stay through a combination of predictive analytics, standardized processes, and enhanced physician alignment with units. Almost 100% of hospitalized medical patients had discharge readiness assessments completed within 24 hours of their admission. [19]

Machine learning approaches to healthcare resource distribution have shown considerable promise for enhancing equity and efficiency. Optimization models for allocating point-of-care testing in resource-constrained settings and queueing-location-allocation formulations using integer programming and Conditional Value at Risk have bolstered system resilience amidst demand uncertainty. AI-driven frameworks that integrate real-time forecasting, optimization, bottleneck analysis, and simulation for comprehensive hospital operations management improved bed occupancy from 73% to 88%, cut staff idle time by 15%, and decreased surgery scheduling conflicts by 23%. [20-21] Predictive analytics for staffing optimization facilitate demand forecasting weeks or months ahead, scenario planning for risk reduction, and dynamic shift management. Implementations have led to reductions in labor costs by 10-12%, improvements in nurse-to-patient ratios, and enhanced workforce stability through more balanced and predictable scheduling. [22]

AI applications designed for automating administrative workflows show considerable potential for efficiency gains. The 2020 CAQH Index suggested that the U.S. healthcare industry could save $16.3 billion by automating routine tasks, with administrative complexity accounting for $372 billion in costs. Automated systems diminish errors in data entry, billing, and claims processing, thus lowering rework expenditures, reducing claims denials, and expediting approvals. Ambient AI scribes automatically transcribe physician-patient consultations, organize information, and integrate it into medical records, relieving doctors from excessive documentation. Autonomous clinical coding converts diagnoses and procedures into standardized codes, streamlining billing processes and ensuring regulatory compliance. These solutions tackle the significant administrative workload that contributes to physician burnout, while also enhancing the quality and completeness of documentation. [23-24]

Economic Outcomes and Cost Savings. A systematic review focusing on the macro and system-level effects of AI implementation in the health economy, workforce productivity, and administrative efficiency indicated potential national health expenditure savings of 5-10%. AI-enhanced interventions have reduced diagnostic timelines by up to 90% and cut treatment costs by over 30% in specific applications such as cancer diagnostics and radiotherapy. Administrative tools that incorporate AI-assisted documentation and claims processing have achieved efficiency improvements of up to 40%. [25] Hospitals employing advanced digital technologies report operational cost decreases of up to 25%, patient satisfaction rises exceeding 30%, and enhancements in diagnostic accuracy and care coordination. Nevertheless, the applicability of these economic outcomes is constrained by reliance on simulated models, short-term investigations, and data from single centers. [26-27]

Discussion

The merging of digital technologies and artificial intelligence within central health management presents significant opportunities alongside formidable challenges in implementation. Evidence indicates that AI can streamline operations, enhance resource distribution, alleviate administrative burdens, and improve patient flow. Nonetheless, the shift from innovative research to consistent and scalable application is incomplete, with many projects still in pilot phases or limited practice rather than achieving comprehensive system-wide change. The methodological shortcomings observed in various studies raise critical concerns regarding their applicability in real-world scenarios. The dominance of retrospective analyses, localized implementations, and simulated conditions invites skepticism about their generalizability to diverse healthcare systems and patient demographics. AI performance often diminishes when transferred to independent datasets due to inconsistencies in data quality, workflow variations, and population traits. Without rigorous prospective validation, healthcare leaders are hesitant to invest in AI technologies that promise reliable benefits. The dependence on simulations and short-term studies is particularly alarming concerning economic forecasts. While AI shows impressive efficiency gains under controlled conditions, real-world applications often face unforeseen costs related to system integration, workflow modifications, training, and ongoing upkeep. The anticipated 5-10% savings in national health spending, as suggested by systematic reviews, may not materialize if the challenges of implementation exceed expectations or if the efficiency gains are counteracted by emerging costs. [18-20, 28]

Data Infrastructure and Quality Concerns. The effectiveness of AI in health management hinges on high-quality, comprehensive, and interoperable data. Current health information systems often create fragmented data across departmental silos, inconsistent formats, and non-compatible platforms. Although electronic health records are widely used, they reveal significant discrepancies in documentation, including unstructured free-text entries and incomplete records that undermine analytical algorithms. [16-17] The lack of standardized terminologies and data formats across health management complicates AI development.

Concepts like the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health offer theoretical frameworks, but inconsistent implementation persists. Without unified data standards, consolidating information for algorithm training proves difficult, hindering model robustness and utility. Data quality challenges extend beyond technical interoperability to aspects like completeness, accuracy, and timeliness. [19] Administrative data often lacks clinical relevance, while clinical data may miss administrative insights. Limited real-time data availability in various systems constrains predictive analytics reliant on current information for accurate forecasts. Investments in data infrastructure should precede or coincide with AI implementation to ensure reliable inputs for intelligent systems.

Workflow Integration and Change Management. Effective AI deployment in health management necessitates a careful approach to organizational change and workflow integration. Technologies that disrupt established routines, increase cognitive load, or require extensive additional time face resistance to adoption, regardless of their technical merits. The tendency for AI tools to reinforce existing judgments rather than inform new strategies highlights the need for intelligent systems to complement—not replace—managerial and clinical reasoning. [4, 8]

Striking the right balance between automation and human oversight is critical. Excessive reliance on algorithmic guidance risks diminishing human skills and may overlook nuanced system elements not reflected in training data. Conversely, underutilizing AI's capabilities can squander opportunities for enhanced efficiency and effectiveness. Implementation models that frame AI as collaborative assets supporting rather than supplanting human expertise are likely to yield better acceptance and results. [3, 5, 24] Change management strategies must address workforce education, redefining roles, and allaying fears of job loss. Administrative personnel may resist AI solutions viewed as job threats, while managers might lack trust in algorithmic recommendations. Clear communication regarding the purpose, limitations, and human oversight of AI can foster acceptance and improve utilization.

The introduction of AI technologies in health management carries significant equity implications. Sophisticated systems requiring considerable infrastructure, specialized personnel, or high-speed internet access may deepen existing healthcare inequities. Rural hospitals and resource-constrained institutions may be ill-equipped to implement AI, further widening the divide between well-resourced and under-resourced facilities. However, well-designed AI applications could democratize access to quality health management through scalable, cloud-based platforms that lessen the need for on-site expertise. AI-driven telemedicine and remote monitoring can extend specialist-level care to underserved regions. Achieving this potential requires designs that prioritize accessibility, affordability, and usability across various contexts. Algorithmic bias also presents a critical equity challenge. [2] AI models developed using data from predominantly advantaged groups may perform poorly for underrepresented populations, exacerbating disparities. Ensuring diverse training data, assessing performance across demographic segments, and integrating bias detection and mitigation techniques are essential for equitable AI deployment.[8]

Governance, Regulation, and Ethical Considerations. The regulatory framework surrounding AI applications in health management is still evolving, with uncertainties affecting approval processes and liability frameworks. Clearly defining whether specific applications are considered medical devices, decision support tools, or administrative technology influences oversight needs and accountability. Establishing transparent regulatory guidance for health management AI would enable responsible innovation while safeguarding system integrity. Ethical questions involving transparency, accountability, and patient autonomy must continue to be addressed. Stakeholders need clarity on when and how AI contributes to management decisions, ensuring avenues for human review and intervention. Data governance structures must protect sensitive information while allowing beneficial uses for system enhancement and research. The concentration of AI development in high-income countries, particularly in China and the U.S., raises concerns regarding technology transfer and local adaptation. Health management practices differ widely across healthcare systems, and AI solutions crafted in one context may require extensive modifications to be effective elsewhere. Enhancing local innovation capacity and ensuring proper contextualization of imported technologies are vital for sustainable implementation. [25-27]

Multiple research priorities emerge from this analysis. First, conducting prospective implementation studies with long-term follow-up is critical for understanding the sustainability and progression of AI benefits. Second, comprehensive economic evaluations comparing total implementation costs against realized savings will guide investment decisions. Third, employing mixed-methods in implementation science to identify facilitators and barriers to adoption can accelerate the spread of beneficial technologies. Fourth, engaging health system leaders, managers, and frontline workers in participatory design approaches ensures that AI tools meet real operational needs. [9], [21], [28]

Technological innovation should focus on interoperability, scalability, and user-centered design. Advances in explainable AI, privacy-preserving federated learning, and edge computing for real-time processing can help overcome current limitations. The integration of AI with emerging technologies—such as blockchain for secure data sharing, the Internet of Things for comprehensive monitoring, and digital twins for system simulation—may enable more thorough transformations in health management. [18, 19, 23] Shifting from hospital-centric applications to a whole-system approach signifies a crucial development. Current research primarily focuses on acute care, while primary care, public health, and social care management remain less explored. Creating AI solutions that coordinate care across settings, predict population health needs, and optimize preventive measures could yield even greater systemic advantages than isolated hospital-focused applications.

Conclusions

Digitalization and artificial intelligence hold the potential to revolutionize central health management across operational, strategic, and administrative arenas. Existing evidence highlights significant capabilities in predictive analytics, resource optimization, administrative automation, and decision support, all of which can drive down costs, enhance efficiency, and improve patient outcomes. Nonetheless, considerable challenges remain regarding data infrastructure, algorithm validation, workflow integration, and equitable access. The responsible use of AI in health management necessitates careful adherence to methodological standards, ethical guidelines, governance frameworks, and change management practices. This technology should support human decision-making while ensuring transparency, accountability, and a focus on patient-centered results. Future advancements must emphasize real-world effectiveness, system-wide integration, and equitable access to unlock the complete potential of intelligent health management.

References:

1. Yu KH, Beam AL, Kohane IS. Artificial intelligence in healthcare. Nat Biomed Eng. 2018;2:719-731.
2. Wahl B, Cossy-Gantner A, Germann S, Schwalbe NR. Artificial intelligence (AI) and global health: how can AI contribute to health in resource-poor settings? BMJ Glob Health. 2018;3:e000798.
3. Sumner J, Lim HW, Chong LS, Bundele A, Mukhopadhyay A, Kayambu G. Artificial intelligence in physical rehabilitation: a systematic review. Artif Intell Med. 2023;146:102693.
4. Hazarika I. Artificial intelligence: opportunities and implications for the health workforce. Int Health. 2020;12:241-245.
5. Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. The role of artificial intelligence in future rehabilitation services: a systematic review. IEEE Access. 2023;11:11024-11043.
6. Vélez-Guerrero MA, Callejas-Cuervo M, Mazzoleni S. Artificial intelligence-based wearable robotic exoskeletons for upper limb rehabilitation: a review. Sensors. 2021;21:2146.
7. Das A, Day TW, Kulkarni V, Buchanan A, Cottrell K, John NW, Chatterjee K. Augmenting neurological disorder prediction and rehabilitation using artificial intelligence. In: AI-Driven Stroke Rehabilitation Systems and Assessment. Elsevier; 2022:309-329.
8. Alsobhi M, Khan F, Chevidikunnan MF, Basuodan R, Shawli L, Neamatallah Z. Physical therapists' knowledge and attitudes regarding artificial intelligence applications in health care and rehabilitation: cross-sectional study. J Med Internet Res. 2022;24:e39565.
9. Cinnera AM, Morone G, Ferriero G, Negrini F, REHALISE Group. Artificial intelligence in rehabilitation: a living systematic review. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2025;61:691-693.
10. Machine learning models for predicting treatment outcomes in chronic non-specific back pain patients undergoing lumbar extension traction. Sci Rep. 2026;16:38059.
11. AI-driven hybrid rehabilitation: synergizing robotics and intelligent control for upper-limb motor recovery. Front Neurosci. 2024;18:12238863.
12. The potential of computer vision-based marker-less human motion analysis for rehabilitation. Med Eng Phys. 2019;72:56-65.
13. Spike train analysis in rehabilitation movement classification using deep learning approach. Sci Rep. 2025;15:25268.
14. Enhancing home rehabilitation through AI-driven virtual physiotherapy assistants: a narrative review. Biomed Eng Lett. 2025;15:12591998.

15. Acceptance and use of a clinical decision support system in musculoskeletal pain disorders: the SupportPrim project. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2023;23:233.

16. Electronic health record use in physiotherapy: a cross-sectional study. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2025;25:12863365.

17. Systematic review of the use and challenges of electronic health records in physiotherapy practice. BMJ Open. 2024;14:12314992.

18. Linardatos P, Papastefanopoulos V, Kotsiantis S. Explainable AI: a review of machine learning interpretability methods. Entropy. 2020;23:18.

19. Raghupathi W, Raghupathi V. Big data analytics in healthcare: promise and potential. Health Inf Sci Syst. 2014;2:3.

20. Althnian A, AlSaeed D, Al-Baity H, Samha A, Dris AB, Alzakari N, et al. Impact of dataset size on classification performance: an empirical evaluation in the medical domain. Appl Sci. 2021;11:796.

21. Nicora G, Pe S, Santangelo G, Billeci L, Aprile IG, Germanotta M, et al. Systematic review of AI/ML applications in multi-domain robotic rehabilitation: trends, gaps, and future directions. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2025;22:79.

22. Rahman S, Sarker S, Haque AN, Uttsha MM, Islam MF, Deb S. AI-driven stroke rehabilitation systems and assessment: a systematic review. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2022;31:192-207.

23. [23] Yang R, Yuan Q, Zhang W, Cai H, Wu Y. Application of artificial intelligence in rehabilitation science: a scientometric investigation utilizing Citespace. SLAS Technol. 2024;29:100162.

24. Abuzaid MM, Elshami W, Hegazy F, Aboelnasr EA, Tekin HO. The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) in physiotherapy practice: a study of physiotherapist willingness and readiness. J Hunan Univ Nat Sci. 2022;49:196-201.

25. Alanzi T, Alotaibi R, Alajmi R, Bukhamsin Z, Fadaq K, AlGhamdi N, et al. Barriers and facilitators of artificial intelligence in family medicine: an empirical study with physicians in Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2023;15:e49419.

26. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71.

27. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan: a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Syst Rev. 2016;5:210.

28. Richardson WS, Wilson MC, Nishikawa J, Hayward RS. The well-built clinical question: a key to evidence-based decisions. ACP J Club. 1995;123:A12-A13